

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 ANITA VALLADORES, et al.,

Case No. 1:20-cv-01155-DAD-SAB

10 Plaintiffs,

ORDER GRANTING ANITA VALLADORES'
11 v.
12 ASHTREE APARTMENTS, LLC.,
13 Defendant.

PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
GUARDIAN AD LITEM FOR HER MINOR
CHILDREN

(ECF No. 9)

14
15 On August 17, 2020, Anita Valladore and minors D.C. and K.C. ("Plaintiffs") filed a
16 complaint in this action alleging violations of the Fair Housing Act of 1968, as amended, 42
17 U.S.C. §§ 3601 et seq. (ECF No. 2.). On August 19, 2020, the parties were ordered to file a
18 petition for appointment of guardian ad litem for the minor plaintiffs. (ECF No. 8.) On August
19 28, 2020, a petition for appointment of a guardian ad litem was filed. (ECF No. 9.) By the
20 petition, Anita Valladore, who is the mother of the minor plaintiffs, seeks to be appointed
21 guardian ad litem.

22 Pursuant to Rule 17 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a representative of an
23 incompetent person may sue or defend on the incompetent person's behalf. Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c).
24 This requires the Court to take whatever measures it deems appropriate to protect the interests of
25 the individual during the litigation. United States v. 30.64 Acres of Land, More or Less, Situated
26 in Klickitat Cty., State of Wash., 795 F.2d 796, 805 (9th Cir. 1986). The appointment of the
27 guardian ad litem is more than a mere formality. Id. "A guardian ad litem is authorized to act on
28 behalf of his ward and may make all appropriate decisions in the course of specific litigation." Id.

1 A guardian ad litem need not possess any special qualifications, but he must “be truly dedicated
2 to the best interests of the person on whose behalf he seeks to litigate.” AT&T Mobility, LLC v.
3 Yeager, 143 F.Supp.3d 1042, 9 (E.D. Cal. 2015). This means that the guardian ad litem cannot
4 face an impermissible conflict of interest with the ward and courts consider the candidate’s
5 “experience, objectivity, and expertise” or previous relationship with the ward. Id. (citations
6 omitted).

7 “[W]hen a parent brings an action on behalf of a child, and it is evident that the interests
8 of each are the same, no need exists for someone other than the parent to represent the child’s
9 interests under Rule 17(c).” Gonzalez v. Reno, 86 F.Supp.2d 1167, 1185 (S.D. Fla.), aff’d sub
10 nom. Gonzalez v. Reno, 212 F.3d 1338 (11th Cir. 2000). While a parent is generally appointed as
11 a guardian ad litem, there are situations where the best interests of the minor and the interests of
12 the parent conflict. Anthem Life Ins. Co. v. Olguin, No. 1:06-CV-01165 AWINEW, 2007 WL
13 1390672, at *2 (E.D. Cal. May 9, 2007). Therefore, a parent is not entitled as a matter of right to
14 act as guardian ad litem for the child. Id., at *2.

15 The Court has considered the petition of Anita Valladores for appointment as guardian ad
16 litem for D.C. and K.C. who are plaintiffs in this action. Upon review of the petition and the
17 complaint in this action, the Court finds that no conflict exists that would preclude Anita
18 Valladores from serving as a guardian ad litem for D.C. and K.C. The Court finds that the
19 appointment is both necessary and appropriate.

20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Anita Valladores is appointed as guardian
21 ad litem for D.C. and K.C.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.
23

24 Dated: August 31, 2020



25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE